

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/718,293	BLAINEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MENGYAO ZHE	2195	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) MENGYAO ZHE.

(2) David Mims.

**Date of Interview:** 7 April 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*1-31*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant authorizes the Examiner to amend the claims as presented in the examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Now allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

/VAN H NGUYEN/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)